

Report of the Inspector of Mental Health Services 2013

EXECUTIVE CATCHMENT AREA/INTEGRATED SERVICE AREA	Dublin North East
HSE AREA	Dublin North East
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE	Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service
APPROVED CENTRE	Adolescent In-patient Unit, St Vincent's Hospital Fairview
NUMBER OF WARDS	1
NAMES OF UNITS OR WARDS INSPECTED	Adolescent In-patient Unit
TOTAL NUMBER OF BEDS	12
CONDITIONS ATTACHED TO REGISTRATION	No
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Unannounced
DATE OF INSPECTION	15 May 2013

Summary

- St Joseph's Adolescent In-patient Unit presented as a bright and well-run adolescent facility.
- There was a good balance of group and individual therapeutic work and recreational and educational facilities.
- Only eight out of the twelve beds were commissioned on the day of inspection.
- There was evidence on the day of inspection that there were structural problems with some of the en suite bathrooms.
- The documentation and processes in relation to the use of seclusion and physical restraint were of a high standard.

OVERVIEW

In 2013, the Inspectorate inspected this Approved Centre against all of the Mental Health Act 2001 (Approved Centres) Regulations 2006.

The Inspectorate was keen to highlight improvements and initiatives carried out in the past year and track progress on the implementation of recommendations made in 2012. In addition to the core inspection process information was also gathered from advocacy reports, service user interviews, staff interviews and photographic evidence collected on the day of the inspection.

DESCRIPTION

St. Joseph's was an Adolescent In-patient Unit, located in the grounds of St. Vincent's Hospital, Fairview, which served the catchment area of Dublin North East. The newly refurbished unit was opened in the summer of 2012. The unit consisted of 12 single rooms but only eight of the twelve beds were operational. There were eight residents and no involuntary admissions on the day of inspection. There were eleven children on the waiting list on the day of inspection, ranging in age from 12 to 17 years.

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 (APPROVED CENTRES) REGULATIONS 2006

COMPLIANCE RATING	2011	2012	2013	ARTICLE NUMBERS 2013
Fully Compliant	24	26	26	—
Substantial Compliance	3	1	2	20(d), 22(3)
Minimal Compliance	2	0	0	—
Not Compliant	0	2	1	19(1)
Not Applicable	2	2	2	—

PART ONE: QUALITY OF CARE AND TREATMENT SECTION 51 (1)(b)(i) MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001

DETAILS OF WARDS IN THE APPROVED CENTRE

WARD	NUMBER OF BEDS	NUMBER OF RESIDENTS	TEAM RESPONSIBLE
Adolescent In-patient Unit	8	8	Child and Adolescent Mental Health Team

QUALITY INITIATIVES 2012/2013

Nothing specific noted for 2013.

PROGRESS ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE 2012 APPROVED CENTRE REPORT

1. Each resident must have an individual care plan.

Outcome: This has been achieved. All residents had an individual care plan.

2. Information must be provided to residents on advocacy.

Outcome: This had not been achieved.

3. All twelve beds should be commissioned for use.

Outcome: This had not been achieved. The number of commissioned beds had been increased from six to eight since the last inspection.

PART TWO: EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS, RULES AND CODES OF PRACTICE, AND SECTION 60, MHA 2001

2.2 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS UNDER MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

Article 4: Identification of Residents

The registered proprietor shall make arrangements to ensure that each resident is readily identifiable by staff when receiving medication, health care or other services.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was photographic identification in place for all residents. Two nurses administered medication and a log of staff signatures was in place.

Article 5: Food and Nutrition

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents have access to a safe supply of fresh drinking water.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents are provided with food and drink in quantities adequate for their needs, which is properly prepared, wholesome and nutritious, involves an element of choice and takes account of any special dietary requirements and is consistent with each resident's individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Food was cooked freshly in the main kitchen of St. Vincent's Hospital. Residents ordered their main meal from a menu the night before. There was a choice of three main courses including a vegetarian option. Breakfast, light lunch, snacks and fresh fruit were available in the dining room. Drinking water was widely available.

Article 6 (1-2): Food Safety

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure:

(a) the provision of suitable and sufficient catering equipment, crockery and cutlery

(b) the provision of proper facilities for the refrigeration, storage, preparation, cooking and serving of food, and

(c) that a high standard of hygiene is maintained in relation to the storage, preparation and disposal of food and related refuse.

(2) This regulation is without prejudice to:

(a) the provisions of the Health Act 1947 and any regulations made thereunder in respect of food standards (including labelling) and safety;

(b) any regulations made pursuant to the European Communities Act 1972 in respect of food standards (including labelling) and safety; and

(c) the Food Safety Authority of Ireland Act 1998.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>	X		
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Environmental Health Officer's report was available and was satisfactory.

Article 7: Clothing

The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(1) when a resident does not have an adequate supply of their own clothing the resident is provided with an adequate supply of appropriate individualised clothing with due regard to his or her dignity and bodily integrity at all times;

(2) night clothes are not worn by residents during the day, unless specified in a resident's individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Night clothes were not worn during the day, unless specified in a resident's individual care plan. All residents were in their day clothes on the day of inspection. Each resident had their own personal supply of clothing. There was funding to purchase clothing if necessary.

Article 8: Residents' Personal Property and Possessions

(1) For the purpose of this regulation "personal property and possessions" means the belongings and personal effects that a resident brings into an approved centre; items purchased by or on behalf of a resident during his or her stay in an approved centre; and items and monies received by the resident during his or her stay in an approved centre.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to residents' personal property and possessions.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that a record is maintained of each resident's personal property and possessions and is available to the resident in accordance with the approved centre's written policy.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that records relating to a resident's personal property and possessions are kept separately from the resident's individual care plan.

(5) The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident retains control of his or her personal property and possessions except under circumstances where this poses a danger to the resident or others as indicated by the resident's individual care plan.

(6) The registered proprietor shall ensure that provision is made for the safe-keeping of all personal property and possessions.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Residents had the facility to lock away their possessions in a safe in their bedrooms. A counter-signed property list was completed at admission and could be reviewed and updated by the resident. There was additional safe storage available within the unit if required. There was an up-to-date policy on residents' personal property and possessions.

Article 9: Recreational Activities

The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre, insofar as is practicable, provides access for residents to appropriate recreational activities.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There were many recreational opportunities available for residents including a dedicated garden and basketball court for the unit in addition to the facilities located at the school on campus, such as tennis court and snooker table. The unit had a large sitting room with games, books and TV and DVD player and there was a music room equipped with guitars, drums and keyboard.

Article 10: Religion

The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents are facilitated, insofar as is reasonably practicable, in the practice of their religion.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The practice of all religions was facilitated. The hospital chaplain led a weekly “positive energy” group, which was a spiritual group, which residents could attend if they wished.

Article 11 (1-6): Visits

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements are made for residents to receive visitors having regard to the nature and purpose of the visit and the needs of the resident.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that reasonable times are identified during which a resident may receive visits.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall take all reasonable steps to ensure the safety of residents and visitors.*
- (4) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the freedom of a resident to receive visits and the privacy of a resident during visits are respected, in so far as is practicable, unless indicated otherwise in the resident's individual care plan.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements and facilities are in place for children visiting a resident.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for visits.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had set times for visiting. A list of named visitors was agreed for each resident. Visitors who were under 18 were accompanied by a responsible adult. The approved centre had written policies and procedures in place for visits.

Article 12 (1-4): Communication

(1) Subject to subsections (2) and (3), the registered proprietor and the clinical director shall ensure that the resident is free to communicate at all times, having due regard to his or her wellbeing, safety and health.

(2) The clinical director, or a senior member of staff designated by the clinical director, may only examine incoming and outgoing communication if there is reasonable cause to believe that the communication may result in harm to the resident or to others.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures on communication.

(4) For the purposes of this regulation "communication" means the use of mail, fax, email, internet, telephone or any device for the purposes of sending or receiving messages or goods.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Residents did not retain their personal mobile phones. The approved centre provided non-camera phones into which the residents could insert their personal SIM cards. Internet access was available but was monitored. There was a written policy on communication and a policy on the use of mobile phones.

Article 13: Searches

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures on the searching of a resident, his or her belongings and the environment in which he or she is accommodated.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that searches are only carried out for the purpose of creating and maintaining a safe and therapeutic environment for the residents and staff of the approved centre.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for carrying out searches with the consent of a resident and carrying out searches in the absence of consent.*
- (4) *Without prejudice to subsection (3) the registered proprietor shall ensure that the consent of the resident is always sought.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents and staff are aware of the policy and procedures on searching.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that there is be a minimum of two appropriately qualified staff in attendance at all times when searches are being conducted.*
- (7) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all searches are undertaken with due regard to the resident's dignity, privacy and gender.*
- (8) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the resident being searched is informed of what is happening and why.*
- (9) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a written record of every search is made, which includes the reason for the search.*
- (10) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures in relation to the finding of illicit substances.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had written operational policies on the carrying out of searches with and without consent and on the finding of illicit substances. No searches had been carried out without consent. Rooms were routinely searched three times daily. Searches were also carried out on admission and on return from leave. Two staff carried out searches.

Article 14 (1-5): Care of the Dying

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and protocols for care of residents who are dying.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that when a resident is dying:

(a) appropriate care and comfort are given to a resident to address his or her physical, emotional, psychological and spiritual needs;

(b) in so far as practicable, his or her religious and cultural practices are respected;

(c) the resident's death is handled with dignity and propriety, and;

(d) in so far as is practicable, the needs of the resident's family, next-of-kin and friends are accommodated.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that when the sudden death of a resident occurs:

(a) in so far as practicable, his or her religious and cultural practices are respected;

(b) the resident's death is handled with dignity and propriety, and;

(c) in so far as is practicable, the needs of the resident's family, next-of-kin and friends are accommodated.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the Mental Health Commission is notified in writing of the death of any resident of the approved centre, as soon as is practicable and in any event, no later than within 48 hours of the death occurring.

(5) This Regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Coroners Act 1962 and the Coroners (Amendment) Act 2005.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was an up-to- date policy on the care of residents who are dying.

Article 15: Individual Care Plan

The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident has an individual care plan.

[Definition of an individual care plan: "... a documented set of goals developed, regularly reviewed and updated by the resident's multi-disciplinary team, so far as practicable in consultation with each resident. The individual care plan shall specify the treatment and care required which shall be in accordance with best practice, shall identify necessary resources and shall specify appropriate goals for the resident. For a resident who is a child, his or her individual care plan shall include education requirements. The individual care plan shall be recorded in the one composite set of documentation".]

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>		X	

Justification for this rating:

All children had an individual care plan as described in the Regulations. There was a weekly review of the individual care plan by the multidisciplinary team (MDT) and this was signed by the child.

Article 16: Therapeutic Services and Programmes

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident has access to an appropriate range of therapeutic services and programmes in accordance with his or her individual care plan.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that programmes and services provided shall be directed towards restoring and maintaining optimal levels of physical and psychosocial functioning of a resident.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>		X	

Justification for this rating:

The therapeutic programme timetable for each child was available for inspection. The timetable offered a good balance of group and individual therapeutic work, combined with a good mix of social and physical activities which were tailored to the individual needs of the children and were in accordance with each child's individual care plan.

Article 17: Children's Education

The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident who is a child is provided with appropriate educational services in accordance with his or her needs and age as indicated by his or her individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Residents attended the on-site school which also catered for teenagers attending the Day Hospital. The school was administered by the Department of Education and was very well resourced with a Principal, two full-time teachers and three part-time teachers. There were 12 taught subjects and the school was an exam centre for state examinations. There was a wide range of recreational facilities available to the school with a music room and snooker table indoors and a large garden, vegetable patch and tennis court outdoors.

Article 18: Transfer of Residents

(1) When a resident is transferred from an approved centre for treatment to another approved centre, hospital or other place, the registered proprietor of the approved centre from which the resident is being transferred shall ensure that all relevant information about the resident is provided to the receiving approved centre, hospital or other place.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has a written policy and procedures on the transfer of residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was a policy on transfer of residents. The relevant information accompanied each resident on transfer.

Article 19 (1-2): General Health

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(a) adequate arrangements are in place for access by residents to general health services and for their referral to other health services as required;

(b) each resident's general health needs are assessed regularly as indicated by his or her individual care plan and in any event not less than every six months, and;

(c) each resident has access to national screening programmes where available and applicable to the resident.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for responding to medical emergencies.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			X

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had written operational policies and procedures on responding to medical emergencies.

One resident had been in the approved centre for a period longer than six months but inspectors could find no evidence that a full general health examination had been carried out.

Breach: 19(1)

Article 20 (1-2): Provision of Information to Residents

(1) Without prejudice to any provisions in the Act the registered proprietor shall ensure that the following information is provided to each resident in an understandable form and language:

(a) details of the resident's multi-disciplinary team;

(b) housekeeping practices, including arrangements for personal property, mealtimes, visiting times and visiting arrangements;

(c) verbal and written information on the resident's diagnosis and suitable written information relevant to the resident's diagnosis unless in the resident's psychiatrist's view the provision of such information might be prejudicial to the resident's physical or mental health, well-being or emotional condition;

(d) details of relevant advocacy and voluntary agencies;

(e) information on indications for use of all medications to be administered to the resident, including any possible side-effects.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for the provision of information to residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X	X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was an information pack available for residents and a separate booklet available for parents. Staff identification was clearly displayed in the unit. There was information about medication and diagnosis. The Headspace Toolkit for Young People was available to residents. There was an up-to-date policy on the provision of information.

There was no information available on advocacy services.

Breach: 20(d)

Article 21: Privacy

The registered proprietor shall ensure that the resident's privacy and dignity is appropriately respected at all times.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Each resident had their own bedroom with bathroom en suite. It was evident on the day of inspection that the privacy and dignity of the residents were respected.

Article 22: Premises

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(a) premises are clean and maintained in good structural and decorative condition;

(b) premises are adequately lit, heated and ventilated;

(c) a programme of routine maintenance and renewal of the fabric and decoration of the premises is developed and implemented and records of such programme are maintained.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has adequate and suitable furnishings having regard to the number and mix of residents in the approved centre.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the condition of the physical structure and the overall approved centre environment is developed and maintained with due regard to the specific needs of residents and patients and the safety and well-being of residents, staff and visitors.

(4) Any premises in which the care and treatment of persons with a mental disorder or mental illness is begun after the commencement of these regulations shall be designed and developed or redeveloped specifically and solely for this purpose in so far as it practicable and in accordance with best contemporary practice.

(5) Any approved centre in which the care and treatment of persons with a mental disorder or mental illness is begun after the commencement of these regulations shall ensure that the buildings are, as far as practicable, accessible to persons with disabilities.

(6) This regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Building Control Act 1990, the Building Regulations 1997 and 2001, Part M of the Building Regulations 1997, the Disability Act 2005 and the Planning and Development Act 2000.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had been relocated to a refurbished building and the unit was bright and suitably decorated and furnished for young people. Accommodation comprised single en suite rooms. It was evident on the day of inspection however that there were structural problems with some of the en-suite bathrooms, resulting in these bedrooms being out of commission. Inspectors were informed by staff that the builders had agreed to remedy this. The building was accessible for disabled persons.

Breach: 22(3)

Article 23 (1-2): Ordering, Prescribing, Storing and Administration of Medicines

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has appropriate and suitable practices and written operational policies relating to the ordering, prescribing, storing and administration of medicines to residents.

(2) This Regulation is without prejudice to the Irish Medicines Board Act 1995 (as amended), the Misuse of Drugs Acts 1977, 1984 and 1993, the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 1998 (S.I. No. 338 of 1998) and 1993 (S.I. No. 338 of 1993 and S.I. No. 342 of 1993) and S.I. No. 540 of 2003, Medicinal Products (Prescription and control of Supply) Regulations 2003 (as amended).

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had suitable practices and written operational policies on the prescribing, ordering, storing and administration of medicines.

Article 24 (1-2): Health and Safety

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to the health and safety of residents, staff and visitors.

(2) This regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of Health and Safety Act 1989, the Health and Safety at Work Act 2005 and any regulations made thereunder.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was an up-to-date policy in relation to the health and safety of residents, visitors and staff.

Article 25: Use of Closed Circuit Television (CCTV)

CCTV was not used in the approved centre.

Article 26: Staffing

- (1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written policies and procedures relating to the recruitment, selection and vetting of staff.
- (2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the numbers of staff and skill mix of staff are appropriate to the assessed needs of residents, the size and layout of the approved centre.
- (3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that there is an appropriately qualified staff member on duty and in charge of the approved centre at all times and a record thereof maintained in the approved centre.
- (4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that staff have access to education and training to enable them to provide care and treatment in accordance with best contemporary practice.
- (5) The registered proprietor shall ensure that all staff members are made aware of the provisions of the Act and all regulations and rules made thereunder, commensurate with their role.
- (6) The registered proprietor shall ensure that a copy of the Act and any regulations and rules made thereunder are to be made available to all staff in the approved centre.

WARD OR UNIT	STAFF TYPE	DAY	NIGHT
Adolescent In-patient Unit	CNM1 or 2	1	0
	RPN	4	3

Clinical Nurse Manager (CNM), Registered Psychiatric Nurse (RPN), Non Consultant Hospital Doctor (NCHD), Director of Nursing, (DON), Assistant Director of Nursing (ADON).

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Health Service Executive policies on the recruitment and vetting of staff applied. Training was ongoing and the staff training log was available for inspection.

There was a 0.75 Whole Time Equivalent (WTE) consultant psychiatrist, two non consultant hospital doctors (NCHD), a 0.5 WTE occupational therapist and a 0.5 WTE senior clinical psychologist in the approved centre. A temporary part- time social worker started in the approved centre on the day of inspection. Speech and language therapy, physiotherapy, dietician and chaplaincy were provided on a sessional basis.

Article 27: Maintenance of Records

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that records and reports shall be maintained in a manner so as to ensure completeness, accuracy and ease of retrieval. All records shall be kept up-to-date and in good order in a safe and secure place.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written policies and procedures relating to the creation of, access to, retention of and destruction of records.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that all documentation of inspections relating to food safety, health and safety and fire inspections is maintained in the approved centre.

(4) This Regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Data Protection Acts 1988 and 2003 and the Freedom of Information Acts 1997 and 2003.

The Inspectorate did not inspect and has no expertise in assessing fire risk

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The clinical files were stored safely and ensured confidentiality. The records were in good order and ensured ease of retrieval of information. A new sticker system had been introduced to the drug prescription charts identifying them as separate from St Vincent's Hospital charts.

There was a written policy relating to the creation of, access to, retention and destruction of records. All relevant documentation of inspections relating to food safety, health and safety and fire inspections were maintained in the approved centre.

Article 28: Register of Residents

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an up-to-date register shall be established and maintained in relation to every resident in an approved centre in a format determined by the Commission and shall make available such information to the Commission as and when requested by the Commission.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the register includes the information specified in Schedule 1 to these Regulations.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Register of Residents complied with Schedule 1 of the Regulations.

Article 29: Operating policies and procedures

The registered proprietor shall ensure that all written operational policies and procedures of an approved centre are reviewed on the recommendation of the Inspector or the Commission and at least every 3 years having due regard to any recommendations made by the Inspector or the Commission.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Policies and procedures were inspected and were up to date.

Article 30: Mental Health Tribunals

This was not applicable as all residents were children.

Article 31: Complaint Procedures

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to the making, handling and investigating complaints from any person about any aspects of service, care and treatment provided in, or on behalf of an approved centre.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident is made aware of the complaints procedure as soon as is practicable after admission.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the complaints procedure is displayed in a prominent position in the approved centre.*
- (4) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a nominated person is available in an approved centre to deal with all complaints.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all complaints are investigated promptly.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the nominated person maintains a record of all complaints relating to the approved centre.*
- (7) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all complaints and the results of any investigations into the matters complained and any actions taken on foot of a complaint are fully and properly recorded and that such records shall be in addition to and distinct from a resident's individual care plan.*
- (8) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that any resident who has made a complaint is not adversely affected by reason of the complaint having been made.*
- (9) *This Regulation is without prejudice to Part 9 of the Health Act 2004 and any regulations made thereunder.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was a designated complaints officer in the approved centre. There was a policy regarding complaints. The complaints procedure was clearly displayed. The complaints record was made available for inspection. There had been no complaints made since the last inspection and this was verified by the General Manager. A community meeting was held weekly in the unit and issues were raised by the residents and minutes recorded. There was evidence that issues arising from the meeting were dealt with in a timely manner.

Article 32: Risk Management Procedures

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has a comprehensive written risk management policy in place and that it is implemented throughout the approved centre.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that risk management policy covers, but is not limited to, the following:*
- (a) The identification and assessment of risks throughout the approved centre;*
 - (b) The precautions in place to control the risks identified;*
 - (c) The precautions in place to control the following specified risks:*
 - (i) resident absent without leave,*
 - (ii) suicide and self harm,*
 - (iii) assault,*
 - (iv) accidental injury to residents or staff;*
 - (d) Arrangements for the identification, recording, investigation and learning from serious or untoward incidents or adverse events involving residents;*
 - (e) Arrangements for responding to emergencies;*
 - (f) Arrangements for the protection of children and vulnerable adults from abuse.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre shall maintain a record of all incidents and notify the Mental Health Commission of incidents occurring in the approved centre with due regard to any relevant codes of practice issued by the Mental Health Commission from time to time which have been notified to the approved centre.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The risk management policy was available and in accordance with this Article. A comprehensive risk assessment framework had been introduced to the unit and risk was assessed and recorded at time of admission and updated regularly in the individual care plan.

Article 33: Insurance

The registered proprietor of an approved centre shall ensure that the unit is adequately insured against accidents or injury to residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The certificate of insurance was available for inspection.

Article 34: Certificate of Registration

The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre's current certificate of registration issued pursuant to Section 64(3)(c) of the Act is displayed in a prominent position in the approved centre.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Certificate of Registration was displayed inside the entrance to the approved centre.

2.3 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULES – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

SECLUSION

Use: Seclusion was used in the approved centre.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
1	General principles	X			
3	Orders	X			
4	Patient dignity and safety	X			
5	Monitoring of the patient	X			
6	Renewal of seclusion orders	NOT APPLICABLE			
7	Ending seclusion	X			
8	Facilities	X			
9	Recording	X			
10	Clinical governance	X			
11	Staff training	X			
12	CCTV	NOT APPLICABLE			
13	Child patients	X			

Justification for this rating:

The clinical files of two residents who had been secluded in 2013 to the date of inspection were inspected. In both instances the clinical files had been completed to a high standard. The Seclusion Register was inspected and was completed satisfactorily in all episodes of seclusion.

In both episodes of seclusion the documentation demonstrated that the staff had considered and tried all other interventions to manage the residents' unsafe behaviours before deciding to use seclusion. There was documentary evidence that the next of kin had been contacted in relation to the seclusion of both residents. A written record in respect of both residents while in seclusion was made by a RPN every fifteen minutes. Medical and nursing reviews were in order. The reasons for ending the seclusion episodes in both instances were documented in the clinical files.

Seclusion facilities were of a very high standard. The seclusion room was situated within a purpose-built de-escalation room. The de-escalation room had a special-purpose couch. There were no CCTV cameras. There was plenty of visibility through a number of glass areas for observing a resident when in seclusion. The floor and walls had a soft finish. There was a dimmer light switch for the seclusion room and adequate ventilation. Refractive clothing (clothing specifically placed on resident whilst in seclusion) was available in the de-escalation area should it be needed, although it was reported that there had never been a need to use such clothing. There was an en suite room that had a shower, toilet and wash hand basin.

The approved centre had a written operational policy in the use of seclusion.

There was documentary evidence that, following seclusion, both residents had been afforded the opportunity to discuss the seclusion episode with their multidisciplinary team.

Each episode of seclusion had been reviewed by the relevant multidisciplinary team in a timely manner.

The training register in respect of seclusion was satisfactory.

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) (DETAINED PATIENTS)

Use: ECT was not used in the approved centre. There were no detained patients in the approved centre at the time of inspection.

MECHANICAL RESTRAINT

Use: Mechanical restraint was not used in the approved centre.

2.4 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH CODES OF PRACTICE – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 51 (iii)

PHYSICAL RESTRAINT

Use: Physical restraint was used in the approved centre.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
1	General principles	X			
5	Orders	X			
6	Resident dignity and safety	X			
7	Ending physical restraint	X			
8	Recording use of physical restraint	X			
9	Clinical governance	X			
10	Staff training	X			
11	Child residents	X			

Justification for this rating:

The clinical files of three children who had been physically restrained in 2013 to the date of inspection were inspected. In all instances the clinical files had been completed to a high standard. The Clinical Practice Form book was inspected and was completed satisfactorily in all episodes of physical restraint.

In all episodes of physical restraint the documentation demonstrated that staff had considered and tried all other interventions to manage the children's unsafe behaviours before deciding to use physical restraint. There was documentary evidence that the respective next of kin had been contacted in relation to these three episodes of physical restraint. All three children had received physical examinations following the episodes of physical restraint.

The approved centre had a written operational policy in the use of physical restraint.

There was documentary evidence that, following the episodes of physical restraint, all three children had been afforded the opportunity to discuss the episodes of physical restraint with their respective multidisciplinary teams and each episode of physical restraint had been reviewed by the respective multidisciplinary teams in a timely manner.

The training register in respect of physical restraint was satisfactory.

ADMISSION OF CHILDREN

Description: The approved centre was exclusively for the admission of children.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Admission	X			
3	Treatment	X			
4	Leave provisions	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

All children were voluntary. In all eight clinical files, the respective parents/guardians had consented in writing to the admission of their respective child. In seven clinical files there was consent to treatment of the respective child by a parent/guardian. A High Court order under section 27(1) Civil Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 2008 had been made in respect of one voluntary child whose consent to treatment had been refused by a parent. This was well documented in the respective clinical file.

NOTIFICATION OF DEATHS AND INCIDENT REPORTING

Description: There had been no deaths in the approved centre. A six-monthly summary report of all incidents was forwarded to the Mental Health Commission.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Notification of deaths	NOT APPLICABLE			
3	Incident reporting	X			
4	Clinical governance (identified risk manager)	X			

Justification for this rating:

The Risk Management policy was compliant with Article 32 of the Regulations and identified the risk manager. The approved centre notified incidents to the Mental Health Commission as required.

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) FOR VOLUNTARY PATIENTS

Use: ECT was not used in the approved centre. No voluntary patient of the approved centre was receiving ECT in another centre.

ADMISSION, TRANSFER AND DISCHARGE

Part 2 Enabling Good Practice through Effective Governance

The following aspects were considered: 4. policies and protocols, 5. privacy confidentiality and consent, 6. staff roles and responsibility, 7. risk management, 8. information transfer, 9. staff information and training.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had policies on the admission, transfer and discharge of residents. Staff training was satisfactory and responsibilities were clearly assigned. Parental or guardian consent was provided for each admission. The approved centre was compliant with Article 32 on Risk Management.

Part 3 Admission Process

The following aspects were considered: 10. pre-admission process, 11. unplanned referral to an Approved Centre, 12. admission criteria, 13. decision to admit, 14. decision not to admit, 15. assessment following admission, 16. rights and information, 17. individual care and treatment plan, 18. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement, 19. multidisciplinary team involvement, 20. key-worker, 21. collaboration with primary health care community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 22. record-keeping and documentation, 23. day of admission, 24. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

All admissions were from planned referrals. The clinical file of one child was examined. A copy of the referral letter was in the clinical file. The child and family visited the approved centre prior to admission in most circumstances. All assessments included a risk assessment carried out jointly by the admitting doctor and nurse. This was followed by weekly MDT evaluations of the initial risk assessment. The decision to admit was documented by the registered medical practitioner. An information book was available to each child and their family upon admission to the approved centre. Each resident had an individual care plan and a key worker.

Part 4 Transfer Process

The following aspects were considered: 25. Transfer criteria, 26. decision to transfer, 27. assessment before transfer, 28. resident involvement, 29. multidisciplinary team involvement, 30. communication between Approved Centre and receiving facility and information transfer, 31. record-keeping and documentation, 32. day of transfer.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
NOT INSPECTED			

Justification for this rating:

No current child had been transferred and so, no clinical file was available for inspection in this regard. There was a policy on the transfer of residents.

Part 5 Discharge Process

The following aspects were considered: 33. Decision to discharge, 34. discharge planning, 35. pre-discharge assessment, 36. multi-disciplinary team involvement, 37. key-worker, 38. collaboration with primary health care, community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 39. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement and information provision, 40. notice of discharge, 41. follow-up and aftercare, 42. record-keeping and documentation, 43. day of discharge, 44. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The clinical file of one child who had recently been discharged was examined. The decision to discharge was made by a registered medical practitioner. There was a comprehensive and structured discharge plan. There was documentary evidence of parental involvement in the discharge process and evidence of a multidisciplinary approach in the discharge plan of this child.

HOW MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES SHOULD WORK WITH PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AND MENTAL ILLNESS

Description: No resident had an intellectual disability and mental illness on day of inspection.

The following aspects were considered: 5. policies, 6. education and training, 7. inter-agency collaboration, 8. individual care and treatment plan, 9. communication issues, 10. environmental considerations, 11. considering the use of restrictive practices, 12. main recommendations, 13. assessing capacity.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had admitted children with mental illness and intellectual disability during the year. There was a policy in relation to admitting children with intellectual disability and mental illness and training was provided for staff in this area.

2.5 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH SECTIONS 60/61 MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 (MEDICATION)

SECTION 60 – ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICINE

Description: The approved centre only admitted children so section 60 of the Mental Health Act 2001 was not applicable.

**SECTION 61 – TREATMENT OF CHILDREN WITH SECTION 25 MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001
ORDER IN FORCE**

Description: No child was involuntary under section 25 Mental Health Act 2001, so section 61 Mental Health Act 2001 did not apply.

SECTION THREE: OTHER ASPECTS OF THE APPROVED CENTRE

SERVICE USER INTERVIEWS

No child requested to speak with inspectors.

ADVOCACY

There was no advocacy service attached to this approved centre.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

Overall St Joseph's Adolescent In-patient Unit presented as a bright and cheerful well-run adolescent facility. The therapeutic timetable offered a good balance of group and individual therapeutic work tailored to the individual needs of the residents. There was a very well resourced school on the campus which the young people attended on a daily basis when indicated by their individual care plan. Recreational facilities were also very good. One resident had been in the approved centre for a period longer than six months but inspectors could find no evidence that a full general health examination had been carried out. There were eleven people on the waiting list on the day of inspection highlighting the need to have all twelve beds commissioned as soon as possible. There was still no advocacy service available to residents. It was evident on the day of inspection that there were still structural problems with some of the en suite bathrooms resulting in these bedrooms being out of commission. The seclusion facilities were of a very high standard. The documentation in relation to the episodes of seclusion and physical restraint demonstrated that the staff had considered and tried all other interventions to manage the patient's unsafe behaviours before deciding to use these interventions.

RECOMMENDATIONS 2013

1. All twelve beds should be commissioned for use.
2. Information must be provided to residents on advocacy.
3. All residents in the approved centre for a period longer than six months must have a full general health examination.